

PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.      | . FILING     | DATE       | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/040,042           | 11/07/2001   |            | Wei-Yu Su            | TS01-132            | 1835             |
| 8933                 | 7590         | 04/27/2006 |                      | EXAM                | INER             |
| DUANE MORRIS, LLP    |              |            |                      | EL ARINI, ZEINAB    |                  |
| IP DEPAR             | <b>IMENT</b> |            |                      |                     |                  |
| 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET |              |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |

1746

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  |   | ,  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Comment  | 10/040,042  | SU, WEI-YU   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Zeinab E. EL-Arini  | 1746   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply</li> </ul>  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 App</li> <li>2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b)  This</li> <li>3)  Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Ex</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>ace except for formal matters, pro  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,8,10,11 and 14-17 is/are pend 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,3,4,7,8,10,11, and 14-17 is/are reject 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   | vn from consideration.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction access access and the correction access access as a constant of the correction access access as a constant of the correction access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access access access as a constant of the correction access | epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.   | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).                       |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/040,042 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 04/11/06 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3-4, 7-8, 10-11, and 14-17 are pending.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-4, 7-8,10-11, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagamura et al. (6,071,376).

Re claims 1, 4, 7-8, 11, 14-17, Nagamura et al. disclose a method and apparatus for cleaning a photomask to be used in the photolithography step in the process for the production of semiconductor integrated circuit device. The reference discloses treating the photomask by contacting with a solution comprising ammonium hydroxide, hydrogen peroxide and water, agitating the solution by using ultrasonic, and the multiple number of cleaning cycles, and the photomasks (claims 1, 7), the temperature

Art Unit: 1746

(claims 4, 11), The pH, and the limitation of claims 8, 14-17. See col. 1, lines 13-20, lines 28-33, 49-55, col. 2, lines 22-33, 40-62, col. 4, lines 17-48, col. 8, lines 28-35, col. 9, lines 1-51, Figs. 5-6, and the document in general. Removing particles and residues greater than about 0.2 microns is inherent in Nagamura et al. process. The limitation of claims 3 and 10 is inherent in the Nagamura et al. process. Re claims 1, 7, Nagamura et al. as discussed supra do not teach the ratio, the number of cleaning cycles, and the pH as claimed.

It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to adjust the ratio and number of cleaning cycles to obtain optimum results. The number of cleaning cycles depends on the amount of the particles and the residues on the photomasks. It would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to adjust the pH of the cleaning solution to obtain optimum results.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 4/11/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument with respect to the ratio, the pH, and the number of multiple cleaning cycles is unpersuasive, because one skilled in the art would adjust the concentration ratio, the pH, and the number of cleaning cycles to obtain optimum results.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 1746

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zeinab E. EL-Arini whose telephone number is (571) 272-1301. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zeinab E. EL-Arini Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1746

ZEE 04/18/06